

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/894,476	06/28/2001	Andrew Comas	36287-00600	5938	
27171	7590 08/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP			GRAHAM, C	GRAHAM, CLEMENT B	
	1 CHASE MANHATTAN PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10005-1413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3628		
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/894,476	COMAS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Clement B. Graham	3628			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failur Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply bo within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 June 2001.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
7)						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by th	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/17/01, 12/26/01.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3628

# DETAILED ACTION Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

#### 1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts. In the present case, claims 1-9, do not recite any structure or functionality to suggest that a computer performs the recited claims. Thus, claims 1-9, are rejected as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicant's is advised to imbed a computer in the body of the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
  - 3. Claims 1-12, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sandretto U.S Patent 5, 812, 988).

Art Unit: 3628

As per claim 1, Sandretto discloses a method for structured development of migration options in a legacy transactional enterprise, the method comprising: identifying components of the legacy enterprise;

developing risk factors for the components of the legacy enterprise; identifying unmet opportunities;

developing risk factors for the unmet opportunities; identifying potential components for the legacy enterprise (see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55) developing risk factors for the potential components of the legacy enterprise; and developing the migration options with associated risks using at least the risk factors for the components, the risk factors for the unmet opportunities and the risk factors for the potential components.(Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67)

As per claim 2, Sandretto discloses wherein the components of the legacy enterprise are selected from the group consisting of hardware or software. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 3, Sandretto discloses wherein the unmet opportunities are selected from the group consisting of new hardware, new software or new business methods. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 4, Sandretto discloses wherein the potential components for the legacy enterprise are selected from the group consisting of hardware or software. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 5, Sandretto discloses wherein the potential components for the legacy enterprise include conceptual models of undeveloped capabilities. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 6, Sandretto discloses wherein the risk factors include multiple variables. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 7, Sandretto discloses wherein the risk factors are selected from the group consisting of cost or schedule. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

Art Unit: 3628

As per claim 8, Sandretto discloses wherein the migration options with associated risk factors are selected from the group consisting of existing components or conceptual models of undeveloped capabilities. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 9, Sandretto discloses wherein the associated risks of the migration options are derived from the risk factors for the components of the legacy enterprise, the risk factors for the unmet opportunities, and the risk factors for the potential components of the legacy enterprise. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 10, Sandretto discloses computer executable software code transmitted as an information signal, the code for structured development of migration options in a legacy transactional enterprise, the code comprising: code to capture identity of components of the legacy enterprise; code to capture risk factors for the components of the legacy enterprise; code to capture identity of unmet opportunities(see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55) code to capture risk factors for the unmet opportunities; code to capture identity of potential components for the legacy enterprise(see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55) code to capture risk factors for the potential components of the legacy enterprise; and code to develop the migration options with associated risks using at least the risk factors for the components, the risk factors for the unmet opportunities and the risk factors for the potential components. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 11, Sandretto discloses a computer-readable medium having computer executable software code stored thereon, the code for structured development of migration options in a legacy transactional enterprise, the code comprising: code to capture identity of components of the legacy enterprise; code to capture risk factors for the components of the legacy enterprise; code to capture identity of unmet opportunities(see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55) code to capture risk factors for the unmet opportunities;

Art Unit: 3628

code to capture identity of potential components for the legacy enterprise(see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55) code to capture risk factors for the potential components of the legacy enterprise;

and code to develop the migration options with associated risks using at least the risk factors for the components, the risk factors for the unmet opportunities and the risk factors for the potential components. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 12, Sandretto discloses a programmed computer for structured development of migration options in a legacy transactional enterprise, comprising: a memory having at least one region for storing computer executable program code; and a processor for executing the program code stored in the memory; wherein the program code comprises:

code to capture identity of components of the legacy enterprise(see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55) code to capture risk factors for the components of the legacy enterprise; code to capture identity of unmet opportunities; code to capture risk factors for the unmet opportunities(see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55) code to capture identity of potential components for the legacy enterprise;

code to capture risk factors for the potential components of the legacy enterprise; and code to develop the migration options with associated risks using at least the risk factors for the components, the risk factors for the unmet opportunities and the risk factors for the potential components. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

## Conclusion

 The prior art of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicants disclosure.

Kolling et al (US Patent 5920847) teaches electronic bill pay system. Silverman (US 6,252,869 Patent) teaches data network security system and method. Lawlor (US Patent 5,220,501) teaches method and system for remote delivery of retail banking services.

Art Unit: 3628

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clement B Graham whose telephone number is 703-305-1874. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough can be reached on 703-308-0505. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-0040 for regular communications and 703-305-0040 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

CG

July 29, 2005

FRANTO SOUND FRANTO SOUNDER PRIMARY EXAMINER A U 3628